- *[8] I{. M. Barrer, J. W. Baynhanz, F. W. Bultitude* & *W. M. Meier,* J. chem. SOC. *1956,* 2882.
- [9] *W. M. Meier,* 'Molecular Sieves', *SOC.* Chem. Ind., London 1968, p. 10.
- [lo] cf. *D. W. Breck* & *E. M. Flanigen,* 'Molecular Sieves', *SOC.* Chem. Ind., London 1968, p. 47.
- 1111 *B. D. McNicol, G. T. Pott, K. R. Loos* & *N. Mulder,* Adv. Chemistry Ser. *121,* 140 (1973).
- [12] *R. M. Barrer* & *P. J. Denny,* J. chem. SOC. *1961,* 971.
- 1131 *R. M. Barrer, P. J. Denny* & *E. M. Flanigen,* U. S. Pat. 3 306 922 (1967).
- 1141 G. *T. Key?,* Inorg. Chemistry *5,* 1539 (1966).
- [15] *Ch. Baerlocher* & *W. M. Meier,* Helv. *52,* 1853 (1969); ibid. *53,* 1285 (1970).
- [16] *W. Sieber,* Ph. D. Thesis ETH Zurich, 1972.
- 1171 *J. von Braun,* Chem. Ber. *49,* 966 (1916).
- I181 *F. I;. Blicke* & *E. B. Hotelling,* J. Amcr. chem. SOC. 76, 5099 (1954).
- 1191 *K. Jewers* & *J. McKenna,* J. chem. SOC. *1958,* 2209.
- 1201 *H.* C. *Brown* & *W. H. Bonner,* J. Amer. chem. SOC. *75,* 14 (1953).
- [Zl] *V. M. MicoviC* & *M. L. Mihailovad,* J. org. Chemistry *18,* 1190 (1953).
- 1221 *H. Borer,* Ph. D. Thesis ETH Zurich, 1969; *H. Borer* & *W. M. Meier,* \*4dv. Chemistry Ser. *1U1,* 122 (1971).
- [23] *W. M. Meier,* unpublished file.
- [24] *Ch. Baerlocher* & *W. M. Meier,* 2. Kristallogr. *135,* 339 (1972).
- [Zj] *A. J. Regis, L. B. Sand, C. Calmon* & *M. E. Gilwood,* J. phys. Chemistry *64,* 1567 (1960).
- [26] *D. W. Breck, W. G. Eversole, R. M. Milton, T. B. Reed & T. L. Thomas, J. Amer. chem. Soc. 78,* 5963 (1956).
- 1271 *R. M. Barrev, J. F. Cole* & *H. Stichev.* J. chcm. Soc. **A** *1968,* 2475.
- [28] 0. *Jarchow,* Z. Kristallogr. *122,* 407 (1965).
- [29] W. Thoeni, Ph. D. Thesis ETH Zürich, 1973.
- 1301 *W. M. Meier* & *H. Villzger,* 2. Kristallogr. *729,* 411 (1969).
- [31] cf. *H. S Sherry,* Adv. Chem. Ser. *101,* 350 (1971).
- [32] *H. H. Weldes* & *K. R. Lunge,* Ind. Eng. Chemistry *61,* 29 (1969).
- 1331 *R. Aiello, R. M. Barrer* & *I. S. Kerr,* Adv. Chemistry Ser. *101,* 44 (1971).

## 170. Substituent Increments for the <sup>1</sup>H-NMR. Chemical Shifts of **the 18- and 19-Methyl Protons of Steroids. Part I** : **9/3, lOa(Retro)-Steroids**

## by **Gerhard Englert, Wolf Arnold, Hans Els, Andor Fiirst, Arthur Meier,**  and **Walter Meister**

Physical and Chemical Research Department F. Hoffmann-La Roche & Co., Ltd., 4002 Bask

Dedicated to Professor *Pl. A. Plattner* on his 70th birthday

(22. v. 74)

*Summary*. This paper reports 261 substituent increments for the <sup>1</sup>H-NMR. chemical shifts (solvent: CDCl<sub>3</sub>) of the 18- and 19-methyl protons of  $9\beta$ ,  $10\alpha$  (*retro*)-steroids relative to  $5\beta$ ,  $9\beta$ ,  $10\alpha$ ,androstane. The increments were calculated by a least-squares procedure from 1334 spectra of 759 different steroids.

**1, Introduction.** - Since *Slzoolery* & *Rogers* [l] reported on the application of lH-NMR. spectroscopy to the study of steroids, this technique has proved extremely useful for the elucidation of unknown structures. Especially the fact that the chemical shifts of the angular methyl protons can in many cases be calculated from additive shift increments of the substituents (or of suitably chosen combinations thereof)

has found numerous applications in steroid chemistry. This method has the advantage that the prediction of the chemical shifts of the 18- and 19-methyl protons of a larger number of new products can be made from the spectra of a few compounds of known structure.

Some years ago, *Zürcher* [2] [3] published comprehensive tables of substituent increments for steroids of natural  $9\alpha$ ,  $10\beta$ -configuration. His work was extended later by others and the empirical additivity relationship was also successfully applied to steroids having modified basic skeletons (for a recent review see [4]).

For some years we have been interested in the chemistry of  $9\beta$ ,  $10\alpha(\text{retro})$ -steroids. In the beginning, no shift increments for these compounds were available in the literature. At an early stage in our work the shift increments were calculated manually as described by Zürcher. As the number of spectra increased, however, it soon became evident that computer handling of the experimental data would be desirable. Our results obtained in this manner for retro-steroids are presented herein. In the following paper [5] calculations carried out for  $9\alpha$ ,  $10\beta$ -steroids, *i.e.* with 'normal' configuration are reported.

Computer methods for the calculation of shift incremmts have already been applied by others [6] *[7].* This paper reports the calculation of shift increments for 261 functional groups, denoted as substituents. The values were calculated by a standard least-squares procedure from the chemical shifts of 759 different retrosteroids obtained from **1334** spectra. For the application of the shift increments in structural elucidations it seemed sufficient to us to report only the increments themselves, and therefore a separate listing of all the compounds and their chemical shifts will not be presented here.

Although the shift increments were derived from a very specific class of steroids, they might be useful even for steroids of different basic skeletons. It is known [6] that the magnitude of a shift increment mainly depends on the relative geometry of the substituent and the angular methyl group, and that similar geometric relations may also occur with steroids of different stereochemistry. For example, this is true for substituents in all positions from 11 to 17 of *retro-* and normal-steroids, and similar substituent effects on the chemical shifts of the 18-methyl protons are indeed observed in these cases [5]. It is also known that the chemical shift increments for substituents in several positions of the same basic skeleton may be similar in magnitude due to structural symmetry.. These findings may be helpful in the elucidation of the structure of unknown steroidal products.

No attempt was made to discuss the voluminous literature on chemical shift increments determined for the various types of steroids. Furthermore, in order to reduce experimental errors, no previously published experimental data on the chemical shifts of retro-steroids were incorporated and no attempt was made to interpret the reported shift increments on the basis of a physical model, although some preliminary investigations into a deeper understanding of the cause of the chemical shift increments are available (see *e.g.* [4] [6] [7] and references cited therein).

**2. Experimental.** – All spectra were routinely taken in our laboratories using CDCl<sub>3</sub> as solvent with internal TMS. Spectra were measured at 60 MHz (*Varian* A-60 and A-60 D), 90 MHz *(Br~iher* HX 90j15 FT), or 100 MHz *(Varzan* HA 100). **Thc** concentrations used were between  $ca. 0.05$  and  $0.5<sub>M</sub>$ . The sweepwidth of the 60 MHz instruments was calibrated once every day. Chemical shifts of the angular methyl groups measured at 90 and 100 MHz were determined in most cases directly with the aid of **a** frequency counter or from a computer print-out of the shifts. In order to improve the accuracy of the experimental data, in some cases more than one spectrum of the same compound was included in the data set.

The chemical shift of the angular methyl protons of the unsubstituted compound,  $5\beta$ ,  $9\beta$ ,  $10\alpha$ ,androstane, was obtained from 20 measurements as 0.719 ppm (18-methyl) and 0.944 ppm (19 methyl).

The compounds used for the calculation of the increments were synthesized in our Chemical Rcscarch Department. Some substances were obtained by microbiological transformations. In addition to the <sup>1</sup>H-NMR, spectrum the compounds were characterized, in general, by several additional techniques: microanalysis and/or MS., UV., IR., and in some cases ORD./CD.

**3. Computations.** - The details of the program ADDIT **2** are not given here. The basic assumption used in the calculation was that the chemical shifts of the 18- and 19-methyl protons can be calculated from additive contributions of the substituents and of suitably combined groups of substituents including double bonds. These increments were calculated in such a way that the sum of the squared deviations of the calculated shifts from the experimental shifts was minimized (least-squares method). The results of the computations of several data sets from an increasing number of spectra were in all cases checked by inspection of the calculated deviations. If thesc deviations were considered to be too great, new combinations of substituents were used until internal consistency of the input data was achieved. Compounds with  $\Delta^{8(9)}$  and  $\Delta^{8(14)}$  double bonds and epoxides were not included since, in these cases, difficulties were encountered which were caused by changes of the geometry of the basic skeleton.

The computations were done *via* teleprocessing on an IBM **370/155** computer. The program ADDIT in its latest version needed **a** computer memory of 600 K bytes. Typical C.P.U. times of up to **30** min were necessary for the last computations.

**4. Results.** - In Table 1 are listed the chemical shift increments (in ppm; solvent:  $CDCl<sub>3</sub>$ ) for 261 substituents or combinations of substituents, calculated from 1334 spectra of 759 retro-steroids. The values are arranged according to the position of the substituted carbon atom (position 1 to 17). Column 3 indicates the number of times the substituent occurred in the used set of spectra. Some abbreviations used in Table 1 are explained in Table 2.

Although a detailed discussion of the results presented in Table **1** seems to be unnecessary, a few remarks must be made with regard to the choice of some of the substituent combinations. In all cases where simultaneous occurrence of two or more substituents are either known to or are expected to result in deviations from additivity, these substituents were combined to form a new 'substituent'. In some cases the reasons and justifications have already been presented in Zürcher's paper [3]. Examples are the combination of substituents at 3-C and double bonds as  $\varLambda^4$  or  $\varLambda^5$ . Two substituents at the same carbon (geminal substitution) or two substituents on neighboring carbon atoms (vicinal substitution, *e.g.* 16,17-disubstitution) had to be treated as single 'substituents'. Substituents at double bonds were specified according to the position of the double bond. As was mentioned above, compounds with and  $\Delta^{8(14)}$  double bonds were omitted as well as epoxides since in these cases stronger changes of the geometry of the basic skeleton cause the substituent effects to be non-additive.

Hydroxylated compounds were included even if there was more than one OHgroup present. However, in some cases difficulties were encountered for such compounds. Thus it was found that  $8\beta$ -OH steroids afforded different increments depending on the presence of double bonds in rings **A** and/or B (entries 129, 130 and

No.	Substituent	Quantity	18-Methyl Protons	19-Methyl Protons
	$5\beta$ , $9\beta$ , $10\alpha$ , -androstane		0.719	0.944
1	$1\beta$ -CH <sub>3</sub>	$\overline{4}$	$-0.003$	0.064
$\overline{c}$	$1\beta$ -OH	$\overline{4}$	0.008	0.006
3	$1\alpha$ -OH	$\mathbf{1}$	0.006	0.040
4	$1\beta$ -O-ac	3	$-0.005$	0.070
5	$1\alpha$ -O-ac	$\mathbf 1$	$-0.015$	0.062
6	$1\beta$ -Cl	$\mathbf{1}$	0.002	0.314
7	$1\beta$ -CN	$\overline{2}$	0.024	0.087
8	$1\beta$ -S-CH <sub>3</sub>	$\overline{c}$	0.007	0.138
9	$1\beta$ -S-C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>5</sub>	11	0.005	0.135
10	$1\beta$ -S-isopropyl	2	$-0.003$	0.131
11	$1\beta$ -S-allyl	1	$-0.002$	0.130
12	$1\beta$ -S-ac	9	$-0.019$	0.181
13	$1\beta$ -S-CSCH,	1	$-0.026$	0.209
14	$1\beta$ -S-COCH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>3</sub>	$\mathbf{1}$	$-0.022$	0.180
15	$1\beta$ -S-CH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> OH	1	$-0.002$	0.137
16	$1\beta$ -S-benzyl	$\overline{2}$	$-0.037$	0.048
17	$/1^{2,4,6}$	3	0.048	0.173
18	$2\beta$ -CH <sub>3</sub>	3	0.000	0.055
19	$2\beta$ -OH	$\overline{2}$	$-0.005$	0.113
20	$2\sigma$ -OH	$\overline{2}$	0.000	$-0.058$
21	$2\beta$ -O-ac	6	$-0.004$	0.147
22	$2\alpha$ -O-ac	$\mathbf{1}$	$-0.005$	$-0.044$
23	$2\beta$ -OCH <sub>3</sub>	10	$-0.005$	0.108
24	$2\beta$ -Cl	20	0.003	0.097
25	$2\alpha$ -Cl	4	0.009	0.081
26	$2\beta$ -Br	13	0.000	0.081
27	$2\beta$ -I	12	$-0.003$	0.058
28	$2\alpha$ -F	6	0.003	0.142
29	$2\beta$ -F + 3-oxo + $\Delta^4$	8	0.045	0.520
30	$2\beta - F + 3 - \alpha x + \Delta^{4,6}$	9	0.091	0.423
31	2-CH <sub>3</sub> (at $\varDelta$ <sup>1</sup> )	$\overline{2}$	$-0.004$	$-0.031$
32	2-OH (at $\mathcal{A}^1$ )	$\overline{2}$	$-0.008$	0.015
33	2-OCH <sub>3</sub> (at $\varDelta^1$ )	$\mathbf{1}$	0.006	0.031
34	2-Cl (at $\Delta^{1}$ )	11	0.007	0.057
35	2-Br (at $\varDelta^1$ )	2	0.006	0.043
36	$2, 2$ -di-Cl	$\mathbf{1}$	$-0.034$	0.249
37	$\Lambda^{3,5}$	$\overline{4}$	0.055	0.059
38	$3-0x0$	42	0.023	0.227
39	$3 - 0x + 4^1$	5	0.046	0.220
40	$3 - 0x + 4^{1,4}$	52	0.062	0.402
41	$3 - 0x + 4^{1,4,6}$	116	0.083	0.408
42	$3-\alpha x$ o + $\Delta^{1,4}$ + 6- = CH <sub>2</sub>	1	0.068	0.313
43	$3 - 0x + 4^4$	546	0.053	0.396
44	$3 - 0x + 4^{4,6}$	316	0.086	0.331
45	$3 - 0x + 4^4 + 6 - 0x$	7	0.094	0.408
46	$3-0x0 + \Delta^4 + 6 = \text{CH}_2$	5	0.057	0.324
47	$3 - 0x0 + 4^{6}$	1	0.054	0.131

Table 1. Substituent Increments (in ppm; Solvent: CDCl<sub>3</sub>) for the Chemical Shifts of the 18- and 79-Methyl *Protons of9P.* 70cc(Retro)-Stevoids (Plus Sign Represents **a** Downfield Shift)







## Table 1. (continued)

1554





Table 1. (continued)



1556

No.	Substituent	Quantity	18-Methyl Protons	19-Methyl Protons
	$5\beta$ , $9\beta$ , $10\alpha$ , -androstane		0.719	0.944
239	$17\beta$ -OH + $17\alpha$ -CH <sub>2</sub> C(OH)(CH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub>	2	0.155	0.126
240	$17\beta$ -OH + $17\alpha$ -epoxyisobutyl	1	0.154	$-0.053$
241	$17\beta$ -OCH <sub>3</sub> + 17 $\alpha$ -cyclopropyl	$\mathbbm{1}$	0.204	0.037
242	$17\beta$ -O-ac + $17\alpha$ -CH <sub>3</sub>	69	0.137	0.009
243	$17\beta$ -O-ac + $17\alpha$ -vinyl	9	0.216	$-0.100$
244	$17\beta$ -O-ac + $17\alpha$ -C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>5</sub>	11	0.103	0.008
245	$17\beta$ -O-ac + $17\alpha$ -ac	$\overline{c}$	0.292	$-0.131$
246	$17\beta$ -O-ac + $17\alpha$ -ethynyl	30	0.156	0.034
247	$17\beta$ -O-ac + $17\alpha$ -(Cl-ethynyl)	5	0.142	0.044
248	$17\beta$ -O-ac + $17\alpha$ -prop-1-ynyl	5	0.123	0.043
249	$17\beta$ -O-ac + $17\alpha$ -(3-trifluoro-prop-1-ynyl)	3	0.186	0.016
250	$17\beta$ -ac + $17\alpha$ -CH <sub>3</sub>	17	$-0.041$	0.049
251	$17\beta$ -ac + $17\alpha$ -OH	17	0.008	0.067
252	$17\beta$ -ac + $17\alpha$ -O-ac	13	$-0.066$	0.116
253	$17\beta$ -ac + $17\alpha$ -OC <sub>2</sub> H <sub>5</sub>	7	$-0.143$	0.072
254	$17\beta$ -ac + $17\alpha$ -Br	20	0.063	0.113
255	$17\beta$ -COOCH <sub>3</sub> + $17\alpha$ -CH <sub>3</sub>	3	$-0.019$	0.023
256	$17\beta$ -COCH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>3</sub> +17 $\alpha$ -CH <sub>3</sub>	$\begin{array}{c} 2 \\ 8 \end{array}$	$-0.078$	0.043
257	$17\beta$ -COCH <sub>2</sub> OH + $17\alpha$ -OH		$-0.054$	0.058
258	$17\beta$ -COCH <sub>2</sub> O-ac+17 $\alpha$ -OH	11	$-0.043$	0.061
259	$17\beta$ -COCH <sub>2</sub> Br + $17\alpha$ -Br	$\overline{c}$	0.125	0.114
260	$17\beta$ -COCHBr <sub>2</sub> + $17\alpha$ -Br	$\mathbf 1$	0.205	0.105
261	$17\beta$ -COCH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>3</sub> +17 $\alpha$ -OH	$\mathbf{1}$	$-0.023$	0.062

Table 1. (continued)

131 of Table 1). The non-additive property of these substituents is probably caused by slight changes of the overall shape of the basic molecular skeleton; therefore, the shift increments were also specified according to their chemical origin. The influence of the  $9\beta$ -OH substituent on both angular methyl shifts was found to be small enough to ensure that no significant dependence of its increment on different chemical structures could he observed.

It is interesting to note that within the class of retro-steroids some of the  $\alpha$ -substituents in ring D, for example in position 16 and 17, may also strongly contribute to the chemical shift of the 19-methyl protons. This finding is in contrast to the results found for normal steroids and is attributed to the closer approach of the  $\alpha$ -substituents and the 19-methyl protons in these molecules of bent shape.

The accuracy of the reported increments is difficult to be estimated. Some indications, however, on the reliability of the values can be gathered from the differences between calculated and observed chemical shifts obtained for the 1334 spectra. The average deviation found was 0.004 ppm for both the methyl shifts. Most of the deviations (94% for the 18-methyls, 91% for the 19-methyls) were  $\leq 0.01$  ppm, thus demonstrating the internal consistency of the data. In judging these values, however, one has to take into account, that 70 of the 263 substituents occurred only once in the data set, meaning that 70 out of 1334 spectra of compounds containing these substituents necessarily have a zero deviation between calculated and experimental



Table 2. Abbreviations and Notations used in Table 1

a) Tentative assignment under the assumption that in the  $cis$  compounds the 18-methyl protons are deshielded compared to the trans compounds.

chemical shifts. Nevertheless, it can be seen that, with the aid of the reported increments, the chemical shifts of  $5\beta$ ,  $9\beta$ ,  $10\alpha$ -steroids without too many interacting substituents may, in many cases, be predicted fairly accurately. Together with other NMR. techniques, e.g. application of lanthanide shift reagents, measurement of solvent effects and <sup>13</sup>C-NMR., the reported shift increments are believed to be very helpful for the elucidation of the chemical structure of steroids.

## **REFERENCES**

- [1] *J. N. Shoolery & M. T. Rogers*, J. Amer. chem. Soc. 80, 5121 (1958).
- [2]  $R$  F. Zürcher, Helv. 44, 1380 (1961).
- [3] R. F. Zürcher, Helv. 46, 2054 (1963).
- [4] J. E. Page, NMR. Spectra of Steroids, in: Annual Reports on NMR. Spectroscopy, ed. by E. F. Mooney, Vol. 3, p. 149, Academic Press, London and New York, 1970.
- [5] W. Arnold, W. Meister & G. Englert, Helv. 57, 1559 (1974).
- [6] A. I. Cohen & S. R. Rock, Steroids 3, 243 (1964).
- [7] E. R. Molinowski, M. S. Manhas, G. H. Miller & A. K. Bose, Tetrahedron Letters 1963, 1161.
- [8] R. F. Zürcher, Progress in Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Spectroscopy, ed. by J. W. Emsley, J. Feeney & L. H. Sutcliffe, Vol. 2, p. 205, Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1967.